



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 05/068,377 | 05/08/99 | LASKY | PI066PZ |

HM32/0928
GENENTECH INC
GINGER R DREGER
1 DNA WAY
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080-4990

| EXAMINER |
|-------------|
| ROBINSON, R |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 1653 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/28/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/068,377

Applicant(s)
Lasky et al.

Examiner
Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) _____

(2) Ginger Dreger

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 22, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: (Amended) Claim 22

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Informed Ms. Dreger that amended Claim 22 was directed to an antagonist or agonist of the PSTPIP polypeptide and the elected Group III as set forth in the Restriction Requirement mailed March 8, 2000 was directed to an antibody. Ms. Dreger agreed to modify the claim as follows: In line 1 after the word "agonist" insert "antibody" and in line 15 after the word "agonist" insert "antibody". Ms. Dreger was informed that the case will be transferred to another art unit for examination based on the elected group.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.